



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खंड IX]

शिमला, शनिवार, 1 अप्रैल, 1961/11 चैत्र, 1883

[संख्या 13

विषय-सूची		
भाग 1	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के उप-राज्यपाल और जूडिशल कमिशनरज कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि	167—168
भाग 2	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि ..	—
भाग 3	वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के उप-राज्यपाल, जूडिशल कमिशनरज कोर्ट, फाइनल कमिशनर, ऐक्साइज एण्ड टेक्सेशन कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर आफ इन्कम-टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि	—
भाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन: म्यूनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटोफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायत विभाग	—
भाग 5	वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन	168—174
भाग 6	भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन	174—177
भाग 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं	—
भाग 8	हिमाचल प्रदेश क्षेत्रीय परिषद् द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि	—
—	अनुपूरक	—

भाग 1—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के उप-राज्यपाल और जूडिशल कमिशनरज कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

HIMACHAL PRADESH ADMINISTRATION

till further orders, continue to work as Deputy Commissioner, Chamba.

O. N. MISRA, I.A.S.,
Chief Secretary.

APPOINTMENT DEPARTMENT

EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

NOTIFICATION

Simla-4, the 30th January, 1961

Simla 4, the 27th January, 1961

No. APPTT. 3-5/59.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under Art. 520 of the Civil Service Regulations read with Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. F1/60-HIM, dated the 5th February, 1960, the Lt.-Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to re-employ Shri Basant Rai Jain for a period of one year with effect from the date on which he will attain the age of superannuation i.e. 7th February, 1961 (F.N.) Shri Basant Rai Jain will,

No. Ex. 9-44/57.—The Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to extend the tenure of the State Prohibition Board, for this Territory, constituted vide this Department's Notification of even number, dated the 25th November, 1959 for another year, with effect from 25th November, 1960.

BISHAN CHANDRA,
Secretary.

MEDICAL AND PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Simla-4, the 21st January, 1961*

No. M-19-1743.57.—The Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to grant 60 days earned leave to Dr. (Mrs.) A. C. Parmar, Assistant Director of Health Services (Maternity & Child Welfare), Himachal Pradesh w. e. f. 16th January, 1961 to 16th March, 1961, with permission to prefix gazetted holidays falling on the 14th and 15th January, 1961.

By order,
P. CHANDRA,
Secretary.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Simla-4, the 30th January, 1961*

No. PWE. 139-15/58-8:06-10.—Shri Ganga Ram, Forest Ranger of Himachal Pradesh, Forest Department whose services have been placed at the disposal of this Department by the Chief Conservator of Forests, Himachal

Pradesh vide his letter No. Ft. 29-387/48-II, dated 7-5-1960 is hereby appointed for a period of one year as Wood Treatment Plant Expert, Himachal Pradesh, P.W.D., at Rs. 250 in the scale of Rs. 250-25-500 with effect from 5-5-1960 forenoon. He will draw the usual allowances as sanctioned by the Administration from time to time.

J. MUKAND,
Secretary.

WELFARE DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Simla-4, the 25th January, 1961*

No. W. 27-175.57.—Consequent upon the transfer of Shri A. V. Ramtuke Assistant Director Welfare to Delhi Administration as a temporary measure, the Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to promote Shri Mangal Singh, Probation Officer Mahasu as officiating Assistant Director of Welfare (Gazetted Class II) in the scale of Rs. 250-25-275-25-300-510-30-600 w. e. f. 10-1-61 after noon till further orders.

Sd/-
Secretary.

भाग 2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएँ इत्यादि
शून्य

भाग 3—वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के उप-राज्यपाल, जूडिशल कमिशनरज कोर्ट, फाइनेन्शल कमिशनर एक्ससाइज एण्ड टैक्सेशन कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर आफ इकम-टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि
शून्य

भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन : म्यूनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायत विभाग
शून्य

भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएँ और विज्ञापन**FORM LR III****Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955**

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shrimati Najkoo Wd/o Naghia, caste Jat, R/o village Deepur, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Dandu S/o Dasoundhi, caste Gujjar, R/o village Deepur, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowner).
To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Mst. Najkoo .. (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of her tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 19/34-35 to 38 & 40 to 44, Khasra Nos. 2-11-132-144-147-128-15-27-138, measuring 31 Big. 4 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1959-60) situated in village Deepur, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Dandu (Landowner).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 22-27 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Mst. Najkoo (Tenant) to the said Shri Dandu

(Landowner) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowner in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 22-27 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 24-4-1961/4-2-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 14th day of March, 1961.

Seal.

SURAT RAM,
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III**Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955**

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Sunder S/o Jhatu, caste Julah, village Paplah, Pargana Sunhani, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Moti S/o Kundan, Kanshi Ram S/o Moggi, Ganga Ram S/o Moggi, Smt. Lasho Wd/o Gobdinu,

Sukh Ram, Pritam Singh, Kartar Singh, Phimu S/o Hiroo, Suram Singh S/o Ramdittu, Gujja S/o Ganeshu, caste Rajput, R/o village Paplah, Pargana Sunhani, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Sunder (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 5/21, Khasra No. 547-548, measuring 2 Big. 14 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1955-56) situated in village Paplah, Pargana Sunhani, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Moti etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 41.28 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Sunder (Tenant) to the said Shri Moti etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 41.28 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 24-4-1961/4-2-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 14th day of March, 1961.

Seal.

SURAT SINGH,
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shrimati Devkoo Wd/o Sihoo, caste Brahmin, R/o village Bhalswai, Pargana Saruin, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Pohlo S/o Sihnoo, Dhanai S/o Nagina, Ram Lal S/o Lakhoo, Jai Singh S/o Ruldhu, Kahnoo S/o Keshroo, Daya Ram, Hari Ram S/o Mohan, Gangu S/o Rulia, Bhagat S/o Kundan, Lachhman S/o Basria, caste Rajput, R/o village Lehari, Saral, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Mst. Devkoo (Tenant) has applied under sub-section 1 of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of her tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 46/107, Khasra Nos. 1414-1415-1421-1486-1493-1497-1498-1499-1504-1956-1506, measuring 37 Big. 9 Bis. 0 Bisw. cut of which 1/2 share of land 19 Bighas 14 Biswas (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1956-57) situated in village Bhalswai, Pargana Saruin, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Pohlo etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 14.43 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Mst. Devkoo (Tenant) to the said Shri Pohlo etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for

information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 14.43 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 15-4-1961/25-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 24th day of February, 1961.

Seal. SURAT SINGH,
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Wazira S/o Jula, caste Brahman, R/o village Samlah, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District, Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Lachhman S/o Panju, Jit Ram S/o Moggi, Smt Sajo Wd/o Chartu, Harnam Singh S/o Chartu, Mai S/o Phina, Paras Ram, Mahant Ss/o Phina, Smt. Jiواني Wd/o Sher Singh, Smt. Jindi Wd/o Ranbir Singh, Prema S/o Ranbir, Bir, Inder, Lachhman Ss/o Karam Devi, Bidya Rani D/o Magha, Kirpa S/o Deyal, Thunia, Khayali, Sunder, Nikku, Ss/o Mehlar, Jiwnoo S/o Gobinda, Ganga S/o Genesh, Nihalu S/o Madu, Jodha, Sant Ss/o Madhu, Rup Lal S/o Rama, Smt. Devkoo Wd/o Rama, Mehar Singh S/o Phithu, Bhikha S/o Gohara, Ganoo S/o Bhothi, Munshi S/o Nagina, Kirpa, Prabhu Ss/o Massaddi, Jagdish. Parkesh, Baldev Ss/o Prabhdayal, Ram Singh, Mahant Ram Ss/o Sardaroo, Dhian Singh, Durga, Lekh Ram, Amer Singh Ss/o Sardaroo, Ram Kishan, Ramji, Prema, Sita Ram Ss/o Surjan, Surat Ram S/o Nurata, Paras Ram, Ganga Ram, Kashi Ram, Hari Ram Ss/o Gohara Hardayal S/o Hazaroo Gurdial Singh Nikka Ram, Saunt Singh Ss/o Hardiyal, Gobindu S/o Budhu, Dila Ram, Dhani Ram Ss/o, Sulana, Nihala S/o Ghalat, Rupa, Basanta Ss/o Ganoo, Bali Ram, Surat Ram Ss/o Gurditta, Chophi S/o Dhari, Sant Ram, Lakhu Ss/o Baj, Sunder S/o Ramditta, Sohan Singh, Sarvan Singh, Prem, Prem Chand Ss/o Sunder, Sukh Ram S/o Nurata, Rama S/o Kahna, Munshi, Inder Ss/o Shiva, Johari S/o Dungal, Devi Singh, Daya Ram Ss/o Genesha, Tulsi S/o Nurata, Lobhi S/o Guson, Ran Singh S/o Guson, Kirpa, Moti, Shankar Ss/o Masaddi, Malagar, Ramesh Chand, Rantten, Singh grand son of Wazira, Ghanai, Gujja Ss/o Gujju, Ram Singh S/o Guria, Gokal, Hari Ram Ss/o Shayama, Shiv Ram S/o Shayama, Rupa, Lekh Ram Ss/o Gobind, Ghansara, Moggi, Shiboo, Kirpa Ram Ss/o Sardaroo, Bansi Ram, Sahaba Ss/o Chandu, Karam Singh S/o Bhagta Ram, Paras Ram S/o Laturia, Tulsi, Jai Singh, Nikka Ss/o Raghu, Sunder S/o Laturia, Situ S/o Smt. Panjkoo, caste Rajput, R/o village Ghandwalwin, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Wazira (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 87/370, Khasra Nos. 1637-1639-1640, measuring 6 Bighas, 5 Biswas, 0 Biswansi (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1958-59) situated in village Ghandwalwin, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur in the ownership of Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 161.25 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Wazira (Tenant) to the said Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates

and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 161.25 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 12-4-1961/22-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961.

Seal. **SURAT SINGH,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Prabhdyal, Mangta Ss/o Chartoo, caste Luhar, R/o village Samlah, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenants).

Versus

Shri Lachhman S/o Panjkoo, Jit Ram S/o Moggi, Smt. Sajo Wd/o Chartu, Haram Singh S/o Chartu, Mal S/o Phina, Paras Ram, Mahant Ss/o Phina, Smt. Jiwni Wd/o Sher Singh, Smt. Jindi Wd/o Ranbir, Prema S/o Ranbir, Birinder, Lachhman Ss/o Karamdevi, Bidya Rani D/o Magha, Kirpa S/o Diyal, Thunia, Khayali, Sunder, Nikku Ss/o Mehlar, Jiwnoo S/o Gobinda, Ganga S/o Ganesha, Nihaloo S/o Madhu, Jodha, Sant Ss/o Madhu, Rup Lal S/o Rama, Smt. Devkoo Wd/o Rama, Mehar Singh, S/o Phithu, Bikha S/o Gohra, Ganoo S/o Bhothi, Munshi S/o Nagina, Kirpa, Prabhu Ss/o Massaddi, Jagdish, Parkesh, Baldev Ss/o Prabhdyal, Ram Singh, Mahant, Ram, Dhai Singh, Durga, Lekh Ram, Amer Singh Ss/o Sardaroo, Ramkishan, Ramji, Prema, Sita Ram S/o Surjan, Surat Ram S/o Nurata, Paras Ram, Ganga Ram, Kanshi Ram, Hari Ram Ss/o Gohara, Hardyal S/o Hazaroo, Gurdial Singh, Nika Ram, Saumt Singh Ss/o Hardyal, Gobindu S/o Budhu, Dila Ram, Dhani Ram Ss/o Sultana, Nehala S/o Ghalal, Rupa, Basanta Ss/o Ganoo, Bali Ram, Surat Ram Ss/o Gurditta, Sohan Singh, Sarvan Singh, Prema Ram, Prem Chand Ss/o Sunder, Sukh Ram S/o Nurata, Rama S/o Kahna, Munshi, Inder Ss/o Shiba, Johri S/o Dungal, Devi Singh, Daya Ram Ss/o Genesha, Tulsi S/o Nurata, Lobhi S/o Guson, Ram Singh S/o Guson, Kirpa, Moti, Shankar Ss/o Masaddi, Mlagar, Ramesh Chand, Rattan Singh grand sons of Wazira, Ghania, Gujja Ss/o Gujoo, Ramshi S/o Guria, Gokal, Hari Ram, Shiv Ram Ss/o Shama, Rupa, Lekha Ram Ss/o Gobind, Ghansara, Moji Shibo, Kirpa Ram Ss/o Sardaroo, Bansi Ram, Sabha Ss/o Chandu, Karam Singh S/o Bhagat Ram, Paras Ram S/o Laturia, Tulsi, Jai Singh, Nikka Ss/o Raghu, Sunder S/o Laturia, Situ S/o Smt. Panjkoo, caste Rajput, R/o village Ghandwalwin Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Prabhdyal etc. (Tenants) have applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of their tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 87/367, Khasra Nos. 1632 min 1641, measuring 8 Big. 1 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1958-59) situated in village Ghandwalwin, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 162.72 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Prabhdyal etc. (Tenants) to the said Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the

Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 162.72 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 12-4-1961/22-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 23th day of February, 1961.

Seal. **SURAT SINGH,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur, district, Himachal Pradesh.

In the matter of Shri Gopala S/o Nankoo, caste Luhar, R/o village Samlah, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District, Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Lachhman S/o Panjoo, Jit Ram S/o Moji, Smt. Sajo Wd/o Chartu, Harnam Singh, S/o Chartu, Mal S/o Phina, Paras Ram, Mahant Ss/o Phina, Smt. Jiwni Wd/o Sher Singh, Smt. Jindi Wd/o Ranbir, Prema S/o Ranbir, Bir, Inder, Lachhman Ss/o Karam Devi, Smt. Bidya Rani D/o Magha, Kirpa S/o Dayal, Thunia, Khayali, Sunder, Nikku Ss/o Mehlar, Jiwnoo S/o Gobinda, Ganga S/o Genesha, Nihaloo S/o Madhu, Jodha, Sant Ss/o Madhu, Rup Lal S/o Rama, Smt. Devkoo Wd/o Rama Mehar Singh S/o Phithu, Bhikha S/o Gohara, Ganoo, Ss/o Bothi, Munshi S/o Nagina, Kirpa, Prabu Ss/o Masaddi, Jagdish, Parkash, Baldev Ss/o Prabhdyal, Ram Singh, Mahant, Dhain Singh, Durga, Lekh Ram, Amer Singh Ss/o Sardaroo, Ram Kishan, Ramji, Prema, Sita Ram Ss/o Surjan, Surat Ram, S/o Nurata, Paras Ram, Ganga Ram, Kanshi Ram, Hari Ram Ss/o Gohara, Hardyal S/o Hazaroo, Gurdial Singh, Nikka Ram, Sumt Singh Ss/o Hardyal, Gobindu S/o Budhu, Dila Ram, Dhani Ram Ss/o Sultana, Nihala S/o Ghalal, Rupa, Basanta Ss/o Ganoo, Bali Ram, Surat Ram Ss/o Gurditta, Chanphi S/o Dhari, Sant Ram, Lakhu Ss/o Baj, Sunder S/o Ramditta, Sohan Singh, Servan Singh, Prema Ram, Prem Chand Ss/o Sunder, Sukh Ram S/o Nurata Rama S/o Kahna, Munshi, Inder Ss/o Shiva, Johari Ss/o Dungal, Devi Singh, Daya Ram Ss/o Genesha, Tulsi S/o Nurata, Lobhi S/o Guson, Ram Singh S/o Guson, Kirpa, Moti, Shankar Ss/o Masaddi, Malagar, Ramesh Chand, Ranttan Singh, grandsons of Wazira, Ghania, Gujja Ss/o Gajoo, Ramshi S/o Guria, Gokal, Hari Ram, Shiv Ram Ss/o Shayama, Rupa, Lekh Ram Ss/o Gobindu, Ghansara, Moji, Shivoo, Kirpa Ram Ss/o Sardaroo, Bansi Ram, Sabha Ss/o Chandu, Karam Singh S/o Bhagat Ram, Paras Ram, S/o Laturia, Tulsi, Jai Singh, Nikka Ss/o Raghu, Sunder S/o Laturia, Situ S/o Smt. Pankoo, caste Rajput, R/o village Ghandualwin, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Gopala (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 87/369 Khasra Nos. 1632 min-1638, measuring 8 Big. 2 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1958-59) situated in village Ghandualwin, Pargana Ajmerpur, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, the ownership of Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 190.03 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Gopala (Tenant) to the said Shri Lachhman etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 190-08 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 12-4-1961/22-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961.

Seal. **SURAT SINGH,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Bhandari S/o Sangaro, caste Rajput, R/o village Dhrar Sani, Pargana Geharwin, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Hira, Hari Singh Ss/o Jalim, Amar Singh, Mansha Ram, Bansi Ram, Dando Ss/o Parshotam, Rikhi Ram, Mohar Singh, Harnam Singh Ss/o Lakhu, Gopi, Dhno Ss/o Mlagar, Ramdass S/o Nain Singh, Ranjit Singh, Basanta Ss/o Gopala, Smt. Parsino Wd/o Shivoo, caste Rajput, R/o village Dhrar Sani, Pargana Geharwin Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Bhandari .. (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 21/89, Khasra No. 996, measuring 31 Big. 4 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year, 1955-56) situated in village Dharar Sani, Pargana Geharwin, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Hira etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 349-28 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Bhandari (Tenant) to the said Shri Hira etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 349-28 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 17-4-1961/27-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 27th day of February, 1961.

Seal. **SURAT SINGH,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district, (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shrimati Gokli Wd/o Bardoo, caste Jat, R/o village Taslari, Pargana Rattanpur, Tehsil

Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).
Versus

Shri Masaddi S/o Gamani, Shiv Ram, Sant Ram Ss/o Arjan, Arjan S/o Hazaroo, Gopala, Ghandoh, Khotho, Khanoo, Baraggi Ss/o Kala, caste Jat, R/o village Taslari, Pargana Rattanpur, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Mst Gokli (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of her tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 2/22, Khasra Nos. 655-657-662-664-666-668-659-671-674-675-676-678-679-682-681-684-685-691-693-694-695-697-698, measuring 25 Big. 3 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year 1956-57) situated in village Taslari, Pargana Rattanpur, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur in the ownership of Shri Masaddi etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 419-52 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Mst. Gokli (Tenant) to the said Shri Ma addi etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 419-52 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 17-4-1961/27-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 27th day of February, 1961.

Seal. **SURAT SINGH,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district, (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Jiwnoo, Kapura Ss/o Sardaroo, Kishori Lal S/o Smt. Santi, caste Jat, R/o village Lakhampur, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenants).

Versus

Shri Shiv Kumar, Rattan Kumar Ss/o Durga Nand, caste Khatri, R/o village Lakhampur, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Jiwnoo etc. (Tenants) have applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of their tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 27/77, Khasra Nos. 479/248-286, measuring 5 Big. 13 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year 1955-56), situated in village Lakhampur, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, in the ownership of Shri Shiv Kumar etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 210-24 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Jiwnoo etc. (Tenants) to the said Shri Shiv Kumar etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for

information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 210-24 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 11-4-1961/21-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 16th day of February, 1961.

SURAT SINGH,
Compensation Officer.

Seal.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Bilaspur district, (Himachal Pradesh).

In the matter of Shri Chapu Ram S/o Khania, caste Jat, R/o village Sungal, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Tenant).

Versus

Shri Ishwar Singh, Mehinder Singh Ss/o Sohan Singh, caste Rajput, R/o village Lakhanpur, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur (Himachal Pradesh) (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Chapu Ram (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 1/10 Khasra No. 34 measuring 7 Big. 1 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records for the year 1956-57) situated in village Sungal, Pargana Sadar, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur in the ownership of Shri Ishwar Singh etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 230-40 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Chapu Ram (Tenant) to the said Shri Ishwar Singh etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 230-40 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 28-4-1961/8-2-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 16th day of March, 1961.

SURAT SINGH,
Compensation Officer.

Seal.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

Before the Compensation Officer, Mandi district, Mandi.

In the matter of Shri Chet Ram, Puran, Tekoo, Nikoo Ram, Ghoboo Ss/o Fagoo, caste Rajput, village Ludhrihin, Illaqa Bhargal, Tehsil Jogindernagar (Tenants).

Versus

Shrimati Durgi M/o Seroo, caste Brahmin, R/o Bharwana, Tehsil Palampur, Jagar Nathoo S/o Goverdhan, Hauhoo, Guria Ss/o Balanda, Gauri alias Gaurisut S/o Matlabi, Dass S/o Nagina, Smt. Fini W/o Nikra, caste Brahmin, village Salyana, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Chet Ram etc. (Tenants) have applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of their tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 1 Min/2, measuring 72 Big. 9 Bis. 5 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Ladhruhin, Pargana Bhargal, Tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, in the ownership of Mst. Durgi etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 356-16 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Chet Ram etc. (Tenants) to the said Mst. Durgi etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 356-16 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 12-4-1961.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961.

JIT RAM,
Compensation Officer.

Seal.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE No. 76/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Mahdo S/o Haria, village Kaila, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Tenant).

Versus

1. Shri Bija S/o Parja, 2. Riju S/o Sohnu, 3. Krishno (Major) and 4. Jito (Minor) S/o Chandu under guardianship of brother Kirshna, 5. Gorkh S/o Janta, 6. Yogo (Minor) S/o Dharma, Yogo under guardianship of Dina Nath, 7. Budhia S/o Natar, 8. Bharu S/o Kharku, 9. Hardeo S/o Damodar, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Mahdo (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 18/58, measuring 11 Big. 12 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Palue, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba, in the ownership of Shri Bija etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 288-96 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Mahdo (Tenant) to the said Shri Bija etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 288-96 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 10-4-1961/20-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no

objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961/9th Phalgun, 1882.

Seal. **SURRINDRA PAL,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE No. 75/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Mahdo S/o Haria, caste Arya, village Kaila, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Tenant).

Versus

1. Shri Bija S/o Parja, 2. Riju S/o Sohnu, 3. Kishno (Major) and 4. Jito (Minor) S/o Chandu under guardianship of brother Kishno, 5. Gorkh S/o Janta, 6. Mansha S/o Bishar, 7. Baldev S/o Gangu, 8. Dina Nath (Major) and 9. Yogo (Minor) S/o Dharama, Yogo under guardianship of Dina Nath, 10. Budhia S/o Natar, 11. Bharu S/o Kharku, 12. Hardeo S/o Damodar, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Mahdo (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 18/65, measuring 2 Big. 3 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Palue, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba in the ownership of Shri Bija etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 62.40 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Mahdo (Tenant) to the said Shri Bija etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 62.40 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 10-4-1961/20-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961/9th Phalgun, 1882.

Seal. **SURRINDRA PAL,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE No. 74/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Mahdo S/o Haria, village Kail, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Tenant).

Versus

1. Shri Bija S/o Parja, 2. Riju S/o Sohanu, 3. Krishno (Major) and 4. Jito (Minor) S/o Chandu under guardianship of brother Krishna, 5. Gorkh S/o Janta, Yogo (Minor) S/o Dharama, Yogo under guardianship of Dina Nath, 10. Budhia S/o Natar, 11. Bharu S/o Khark, 12. Hardeo S/o Damodar, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Mahdo (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 18/52, measuring 4 Big. 18 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Palue, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba in the ownership of Shri Bija etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 152.16 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Mahdo (Tenant) to the said Shri Bija etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 152.16 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 10-4-1961/20-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter objections no shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961/9th Phalgun, 1882.

SURRINDRA PAL,
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE No. 77/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Mahdo S/o Haria, village Kail, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba (Tenant).

Versus

1. Shri Bija S/o Parja, 2. Riju S/o Sohnu, 3. Krishna (Major) and 4. Jito (Minor) S/o Chandu under guardianship of brother Krishna, 5. Gorkh S/o Janta, 9. Yogo (Minor) S/o Dharama, Yogo under guardianship of Dina Nath, 10. Budhia S/o Natar, 11. Bharu S/o Kharku, 12. Hardeo S/o Damodar, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Mahdo (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 18/69, measuring 11 Big. 12 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Palue, Pargana Gudial, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba, in the ownership of Shri Bija etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 57.60 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Mahdo (Tenant) to the said Shri Bija etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 57.60 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 10-4-1961/20-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 28th day of February, 1961/9th Phalgun, 1882.

Seal, **SURRINDRA PAL,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE NO. 35/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Jagto, Jarmo S/o Rodu, caste Rajput, Pargana Chawari. (Tenants).

Versus

Shri Prithi Singh, Bichitar Singh, Partap Singh and Kuman Singh S/o Mahasru, caste Rajput, Pargana Chawari, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba (Landowners).
To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Jagto etc. (Tenants) have applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of their tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 30/38, measuring 9 Big. 1 Bis. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Chuhana, Pargana Chawari, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba in the ownership of Shri Prithi Singh etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 239-52 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Jagto etc. (Tenants) to the said Shri Prithi Singh etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights, title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 239-52 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 20-4-1961/30-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above, whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 9th day of March, 1961/18th Phalgun, 1882.

Seal, **SURRINDRA PAL,**
Compensation Officer.

FORM LR III

Notice under Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955

CASE NO. 128/60

Before the Compensation Officer, Chamba district, Chamba.

In the matter of Shri Paroj Din S/o Dittu, village Falgat, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Tenant).

Versus

1. Shri Sukh Dev S/o Nurang, 2. Khep Singh adopted

S/o Sunit Singh, caste Rajput, village Kiani, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba (Landowners).

To

All persons concerned.

Whereas Shri Paroj Din (Tenant) has applied under sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953, for grant of proprietary rights in the land of his tenancy Khata/Khatauni No. 10/92, measuring 3 Big. 15 Bis. 0 Bisw. (as entered in the Revenue Records) situated in village Falgat, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba in the ownership of Shri Sukh Dev etc. (Landowners).

And whereas a sum of Rs. 137-28 is proposed to be allowed as compensation to be paid by the said Shri Paroj Din (Tenant) to the said Shri Sukh Dev etc. (Landowners) for extinction of the rights title and interests of the said landowners in the land described above.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Rule 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Rules, 1955, it is hereby notified for information of all persons concerned that objections in regard to the assessment of the said amount of Rs. 137-28 as compensation shall be received by the undersigned by 10-4-1961/20-1-1883.

Any person having any objection to make in the matter, may do so in writing addressed to the undersigned on or before the date specified above whereafter no objections shall be received.

Given under my hand and seal, this 9th day of March, 1961/18 Phalgun, 1882.

Seal, **SURRINDRA PAL,**
Compensation Officer.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI JIT RAM, COMPENSATION OFFICER, MANDI DISTRICT, MANDI

Proclamation under section 22 Act, No. 6 Himachal Land Revenue Act.

CASE NO. 9/60.

Shri Nakbinhu, Laganu, Khub Ram, Dela Ram, Hari Ram, Sant Ram, Daya Ram Ss/o Panju, R/o Thanotu, Tehsil Sundernagar, District Mandi.

Versus

Shri Jagat Singh, Vir Singh Ss/o Shri Partap Singh, Nam Dhari, R/o Bhani Sahib, Tehsil Samrala, Ludharyana.

Application for grant of proprietary rights under section 11 of the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953.

Where it has been proved to the satisfaction of the court that the landowners above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service, hence this proclamation under section 22 Land Revenue Act is hereby issued against them that they should appear personally or through some authorised agent or pleader on the 14-4-1961 failing which *ex-parte* proceedings shall be taken against them.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 4th day of March, 1961.

Seal, **JIT RAM,**
Compensation Officer.

भाग 6—भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन

LAW DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-4, the 20th February, 1961

No. 1-7/60-LR.—The following ordinances recently promulgated by the President of India and published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary Part II Section I, dated 31st January, 1961 and 4th February, 1961 respectively are hereby republished in the Himachal Pradesh Administration Gazette for the information of general public.

1. The U. P. Sugar-cane Cess (Validation) Ordinance,

1961 (No. 1 of 1961).

2. The Banking Companies (Amendment) Ordinance, 1961. (No. 2 of 1961).

G. M. LAUL,
Under Secretary (Judicial).

(Received assent on 30-1-1961.)

THE U. P. SUGARCANE CESS (VALIDATION) ORDINANCE, 1961

(No. 1 OF 1961)

Promulgated by the President in the Twelfth Year of

the Republic of India.

An Ordinance to validate the imposition and collection of cesses on sugarcane under certain Acts of Uttar Pradesh.

Whereas Parliament is not in session and the President is satisfied that circumstances exist which render it necessary for him to take immediate action;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 123 of the Constitution, the President is pleased to promulgate the following Ordinance:—

1. Short title and commencement.—(1) This Ordinance may be called the U. P. Sugarcane Cess (Validation) Ordinance, 1961.

(2) It shall come into force on such date as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint.

2. Definitions.—In this Ordinance,—

- (a) "cess" means the cess payable under any State Act and includes any sum recoverable under any such Act by way of interest or penalty;
- (b) "State Act" means any of the following Acts, namely:—
 - (i) The United Provinces Sugar Factories Control Act, 1938 (U. P. Act I of 1938);
 - (ii) The U. P. Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and Purchase) Act, 1953 (U. P. Act XXIV of 1953); and
 - (iii) The U. P. Sugarcane Cess Act, 1956 (U. P. Act XXII of 1956).

3. Validation of imposition and collection of cesses under State Acts during a certain period.—(1) Notwithstanding any judgment, decree or order of any court, all cesses imposed, assessed or collected or purporting to have been imposed, assessed or collected under any State Act during the period beginning with the 26th day of January, 1950 and ending with the commencement of this Ordinance, shall be deemed to have been validly imposed, assessed or collected in accordance with law, as if the provisions of the State Acts and of all notifications, orders and rules issued or made thereunder, in so far as such provisions relate to the imposition, assessment and collection of such cess had been included in and formed part of this section and this section had been in force at all material times when such cess was imposed, assessed or collected; and accordingly,—

- (a) no suit or other proceeding shall be maintained or continued in any court for the refund of any cess paid under any State Act;
- (b) no court shall enforce a decree or order directing the refund of any cess paid under any State Act; and
- (c) any cess imposed or assessed under any State Act before the commencement of this Ordinance but not collected before such commencement may be recovered (after assessment of the cess, where necessary) in the manner provided under that Act.

(2) For the removal of doubts it is hereby declared that nothing in sub-section (1) shall be construed as preventing any person,—

- (a) from questioning in accordance with the provisions of any State Act and the rules made thereunder the assessment of any cess for any period, or
- (b) from claiming refund of any cess paid by him in excess of the amount due from him under any State Act and the rules made thereunder.

(Received Assent on 4-2-61)

THE BANKING COMPANIES (AMENDMENT) ORDINANCE, 1961 (No. 2 of 1961)

Promulgated by the President in the Twelfth Year of the Republic of India.

An Ordinance further to amend the Banking Companies Act, 1949.

WHEREAS Parliament is not in session and the President

is satisfied that circumstances exist which render it necessary for him to take immediate action;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 123 of the Constitution, the President is pleased to promulgate the following Ordinance:—

1. Short title and commencement.—(1) This Ordinance may be called the Banking Companies (Amendment) Ordinance, 1961.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. Act 10 of 1949 to be temporarily amended.—During the period of operation of this Ordinance the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act) shall have effect subject to the amendment specified in section 3, 4, 5 and 6.

3. Amendment of section 35A.—In section 35A of the principal Act, in clause (a) of sub-section (1), for the words "national interest" the words "public interest" shall be substituted

4. Amendment of section 44A.—In section 44A of the principal Act, in sub-section (7), the words "in national interest" shall be omitted.

5. Amendment of section 45.—In section 45 of the principal Act,—

(a) in sub-section (1)—

- (i) for the words "any agreement", the words "any agreement or other instrument" shall be substituted;
- (ii) for the words "the banking company", the words "a banking company" shall be substituted;

(b) for sub-sections (4) to (9), the following sub-sections shall be substituted, namely:—

"(4) During the period of moratorium, if the Reserve Bank is satisfied that—

- (a) in the public interest; or
- (b) in the interests of the depositors; or
- (c) in order to secure the proper management of the banking company; or
- (d) in the interest of the banking system of the country as a whole,—

it is necessary so to do, the Reserve Bank may prepare a scheme—

- (i) for the reconstruction of the banking company, or
- (ii) for the amalgamation of the banking company with any other banking institution (in this section referred to as "the transferee bank").

(5) These scheme aforesaid may contain provisions for all or any of the following matters, namely:—

- (a) the constitution, name and registered office, the capital, assets, powers, rights, interests, authorities and privileges, the liabilities, duties and obligations, of the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be of the transferee bank;
- (b) in the case of amalgamation of the banking company, the transfer to the transferee bank of the business properties, assets and liabilities of the banking company on such terms and conditions as may be specified in the scheme;
- (c) any change in the Board of directors, or the appointment of a new Board of directors, of the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, of the transferee bank and the authority by whom, the manner in which, and the other terms and conditions on which, such change or appointment shall be made and in the case of appointment of a new Board of directors or of any director, the period for which such appointment shall be made;
- (d) the alteration of the memorandum and

articles of association of the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, of the transferee bank for the purpose of altering the capital thereof or for such other purposes as may be necessary to give effect to the reconstruction or amalgamation;

- (e) subject to the provisions of the scheme the continuation by or against the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, the transferee bank, of any actions or proceedings pending against the banking company immediately before the date of the order of moratorium;
 - (f) the reduction of the interest or rights which the members, depositors and other creditors have in or against the banking company before its reconstruction or amalgamation to such extent as the Reserve Bank consider necessary in the public interest or in the interest of the members, depositors and other creditors or for the maintenance of the business of the banking company;
 - (g) the payment in cash or otherwise to depositors and other creditors in full satisfaction of their claim—
 - (i) in respect of their interest or rights in or against the banking company before its reconstruction or amalgamation; or
 - (ii) where their interest or rights aforesaid in or against the banking company has or have been reduced under clause (f), in respect of such interest or rights as so reduced;
 - (h) the allotment to the members of the banking company for shares held by them therein before its reconstruction or amalgamation [whether their interest in such shares has been reduced under clause (f) or not] of shares in the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, in the transferee bank and where any members claim payment in cash and not allotment of shares, or where it is not possible to allot shares to any members, the payment in cash to those members in full satisfaction of their claim—
 - (i) in respect of their interest in shares in the banking company before its reconstruction or amalgamation; or
 - (ii) where such interest has been reduced under clause (f), in respect of their interest in shares as so reduced;
 - (i) the continuance of the services of all the employees of the banking company (excepting such of them who not being workmen within the meaning of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) are specifically mentioned in the scheme) in the banking company itself on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, in the transferee bank at the same remuneration and on the same terms and conditions of service, which they were getting or, as the case may be, by which they were being governed, immediately before the date of the order of moratorium:
- Provided that the scheme shall contain a provision that—

- (i) the banking company shall pay or grant not later than the expiry of the period of three years from the date on which the scheme is sanctioned by the Central Government, to the said employees the same remuneration and the same terms and conditions of service as are applicable to employees of corresponding rank or status of a comparable banking company to be determined

for this purpose by the Reserve Bank (whose determination in this respect shall be final);

- (ii) the transferee bank shall pay or grant not later than the expiry of the aforesaid period of three years, to the said employees the same remuneration and the same terms and conditions of service as are applicable to the other employees of corresponding rank or status of the transferee bank subject to the qualifications and experience of the said employees being the same as or equivalent to those of such other employees of the transferee bank;

Provided further that if in any case under clause (ii) of the first proviso any doubt or difference arises as to whether the qualification and experience of any of the said employees are the same as or equivalent to the qualifications and experience of the other employees of corresponding rank or status of the transferee bank, the doubt or difference shall be referred to the Reserve Bank whose decision thereon shall be final.

- (j) notwithstanding anything contained in clause (i) where any of the employees of the banking company not being workmen within the meaning of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) are specifically mentioned in the scheme under clause (f), or where any employees of the banking company have by notice in writing given to the banking company or, as the case may be, the transferee bank at any time before the expiry of one month next following the date on which the scheme is sanctioned by the Central Government, intimated their intention of not becoming employees of the banking company on its reconstruction or, as the case may be, of the transferee bank, the payment to such employees of compensation, if any, to which they are entitled under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947) and such pension, gratuity, provident fund and other retirement benefits ordinarily admissible to them under the rules or authorisations of the banking company immediately before the date of the order of moratorium;
 - (k) any other terms and conditions for the reconstruction or amalgamation of the banking company;
 - (l) such incidental, consequential and supplemental matters as are necessary to secure that the reconstruction or amalgamation shall be fully and effectively carried out.
- (6) (a) A copy of the scheme prepared by the Reserve Bank shall be sent in draft to the banking company and also to the transferee bank and any other banking company concerned in the amalgamation, for suggestions and objections, if any, within such period as the Reserve Bank may specify for this purpose;
- (b) the Reserve Bank may make such modifications, if any, in the draft scheme as it may consider necessary in the light of the suggestions and objections received from the banking company and also from the transferee bank, and any other banking company concerned in the amalgamation and from any members, depositors or other creditors of each of those companies and the transferee bank.
- (7) The scheme shall thereafter be placed before the Central Government for its sanction and the Central Government may sanction the scheme

without any modifications or with such modifications as it may consider necessary; and the scheme as sanctioned by the Central Government shall come into force on such date as the Central Government may specify in this behalf:

Provided that different dates may be specified for different provisions of the scheme.

- (8) On and from the date of the coming into operation of the scheme or any provision thereof, the scheme or such provision shall be binding on the banking company or, as the case may be, on the transferee bank and any other banking company concerned in the amalgamation and also on all the members, depositors and other creditors and employees of each of those companies and of the transferee bank, and on any other person having any right or liability in relation to any of those companies or the transferee bank.
- (9) On and from such date as may be specified by the Central Government in this behalf, the properties and assets of the banking company shall, by virtue of and to the extent provided in the scheme, stand transferred to, and vest in, and the liabilities of the banking company shall, by virtue of and to the extent provided in the scheme, stand transferred to, and become the liabilities of, the transferee bank.
- (10) If any difficulty arises in giving effect to the provisions of the scheme, the Central Government may by order do anything not inconsistent with such provisions which appears to it necessary or expedient for the purpose of removing the difficulty.
- (11) Copies of the scheme or of any order made under sub-section (10) shall be laid before both Houses of Parliament, as soon as may be, after the scheme has been sanctioned by the Central Government, or, as the case may be, the order has been made.
- (12) Where the scheme is a scheme for amalgamation of the banking company, any business acquired by the transferee bank under the scheme or under any provision thereof shall, after the coming into operation of the scheme or such provision, be carried on by the transferee bank in accordance with the law governing the

transferee bank subject to such modifications in that law or such exemptions of the transferee bank from the operation of any provisions thereof as the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank may, by notification in the Official Gazette, make for the purpose of giving full effect to the scheme:

Provided that no such modification or exemption shall be made so as to have effect for a period of more than seven years from the date of the acquisition of such business.

- (13) Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prevent the amalgamation with a banking institution by a single scheme of several banking companies in respect of each of which an order of moratorium has been made under this section.
- (14) The provisions of this section and of any scheme made under it shall have effect notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in any other provisions of this Act or in any other law or any agreement, award or other instrument for the time-being in force.
- (15) In this section, "banking institution" means any banking company and includes the State Bank of India or any other banking institution notified by the Central Government under section 51."

6. Amendment of section 45L.—In section 45L of the principal Act,—

- (a) in sub-section (3), for the words "a scheme of reconstruction of a banking company or its amalgamation with another banking company", the words "a scheme of reconstruction or amalgamation of a banking company" shall be substituted;
- (b) in sub-section (4), for the words "a scheme of reconstruction of a banking company or its amalgamation with another banking company", the words "a scheme of reconstruction or amalgamation of a banking company" shall be substituted.

PAJENDRA PRASAD,
President.

R. C. S. SARKAR,
Secretary.

भाग 7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं,
तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं

शून्य

भाग 8—हिमाचल प्रदेश क्षेत्रीय परिषद् द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि

शून्य

अनुपूरक
शून्य

Late Received

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES & FUEL
(DEPARTMENT OF MINES & FUEL)
THE CONTROLLER OF MINING
LEASES FOR INDIA

ORDER

UNDER RULE 6 OF THE MINING LEASES (MODIFICATION OF TERMS) AMENDMENT RULES, 1960

Nagpur, the 14th March, 1961

CASE No. CML-M-4

WHEREAS a case was registered for the modification

of terms of a mining lease dated 9th September, 1937 for mineral Iron Pyrites held by The Mining Chemical Industries Ltd., Agra for 30 years with a renewal clause, area 7 sq. miles in Village(s) Pargana Jajhot, ex-Keonthal State, District ex-Keonthal of Himachal Pradesh Administration.

AND WHEREAS an enquiry has been made, as laid down in the Mining Leases (Modification of Terms) Amendment Rules, 1960.

2. It is hereby ordered under rule 6 of the aforesaid

Rules that the terms and conditions of the above lease shall stand modified as follows:—

- (i) Area —
- (ii) Period —
- (iii) Dead rent shall be charged at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per acre.
- (iv) The following clause shall be deemed to be inserted in the lease deed and shall form part thereof:—

“Except for the modification made by this order, the lease shall be subject to the rules made or deemed to have been made under sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957)”.

- 3. It is further clarified that royalty shall be payable in accordance with section 9 of the Mines and

Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 instead of according to the stipulations in the lease deed.

4. The lessee shall also pay, for the surface area used by him for the purposes of the mine, surface rent at such rate, not exceeding the land revenue and cesses assessable on the land, as may be specified by the State Government under the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

5. This order shall take effect from the date of this order.

6. It is further ordered that this order be published in the official Gazette of Himachal Pradesh and copies thereof be sent to the lessee and the State Administration.

Sd/-

(B. P. SOOD),

Controller of Mining Leases for India.